

NAME

"Future::Phrasebook" – coding examples for "Future" and "Future::Utils"

This documentation-only module provides a phrasebook-like approach to giving examples on how to use `Future` and `Future::Utils` to structure `Future`-driven asynchronous or concurrent logic. As with any inter-dialect phrasebook it is structured into pairs of examples; each given first in a traditional call/return Perl style, and second in a style using `Futures`. In each case, the generic function or functions in the example are named in "ALL_CAPITALS()" to make them stand out.

In the examples showing use of `Futures`, any function that is expected to return a "Future" instance is named with a leading "F_" prefix. Each example is also constructed so as to yield an overall future in a variable called "\$f", which represents the entire operation.

SEQUENCING

The simplest example of a sequencing operation is simply running one piece of code, then immediately running a second. In call/return code we can just place one after the other.

```
FIRST();
SECOND();
```

Using a `Future` it is necessary to await the result of the first `Future` before calling the second.

```
my $f = F_FIRST()
    ->then( sub { F_SECOND(); } );
```

Here, the anonymous closure is invoked once the `Future` returned by `F_FIRST()` succeeds. Because `then` invokes the code block only if the first `Future` succeeds, it shortcircuits around failures similar to the way that `die()` shortcircuits around thrown exceptions. A `Future` representing the entire combination is returned by the method.

Because the `then` method itself returns a `Future` representing the overall operation, it can itself be further chained.

```
FIRST();
SECOND();
THIRD();

my $f = F_FIRST()
    ->then( sub { F_SECOND(); } )
    ->then( sub { F_THIRD(); } );
```

See below for examples of ways to handle exceptions.

Passing Results

Often the result of one function can be passed as an argument to another function.

```
OUTER( INNER() );
```

The result of the first `Future` is passed into the code block given to the `then` method.

```
my $f = F_INNER()
    ->then( sub { F_OUTER( @_ ) } );
```

CONDITIONALS

It may be that the result of one function call is used to determine whether or not another operation is taken.

```
if( COND() == $value ) {
    ACTION();
}
```

Because the `then_with_f` code block is given the first future in addition to its results it can decide whether to call the second function to return a new future, or simply return the one it was given.

```

my $f = F_COND()
->then_with_f( sub {
    my ( $f_cond, $result ) = @_;
    if( $result == $value ) {
        return F_ACTION();
    }
    else {
        return $f_cond;
    }
});

```

EXCEPTION HANDLING

In regular call/return style code, if any function throws an exception, the remainder of the block is not executed, the containing `try` or `eval` is aborted, and control is passed to the corresponding `catch` or line after the `eval`.

```

try {
    FIRST();
}
catch {
    my $e = $_;
    ERROR( $e );
};

```

The `else` method on a `Future` can be used here. It behaves similar to `then`, but is only invoked if the initial `Future` fails; not if it succeeds.

```

my $f = F_FIRST()
->else( sub { F_ERROR( @_ ); } );

```

Alternatively, the second argument to the `then` method can be applied, which is invoked only on case of failure.

```

my $f = F_FIRST()
->then( undef, sub { F_ERROR( @_ ); } );

```

Often it may be the case that the failure-handling code is in fact immediate, and doesn't return a `Future`. In that case, the `else` code block can return an immediate `Future` instance.

```

my $f = F_FIRST()
->else( sub {
    ERROR( @_ );
    return Future->done;
});

```

Sometimes the failure handling code simply needs to be aware of the failure, but rethrow it further up.

```

try {
    FIRST();
}
catch {
    my $e = $_;
    ERROR( $e );
    die $e;
};

```

In this case, while the `else` block could return a new `Future` failed with the same exception, the `else_with_f` block is passed the failed `Future` itself in addition to the failure details so it can just return that.

```

my $f = F_FIRST()
->else_with_f( sub {
    my ( $f1, @failure ) = @_;
    ERROR( @failure );
    return $f1;
});

```

The `followed_by` method is similar again, though it invokes the code block regardless of the success or failure of the initial `Future`. It can be used to create `finally` semantics. By returning the `Future` instance that it was passed, the `followed_by` code ensures it doesn't affect the result of the operation.

```

try {
    FIRST();
}
catch {
    ERROR( $_ );
}
finally {
    CLEANUP();
};

my $f = F_FIRST()
->else( sub {
    ERROR( @_ );
    return Future->done;
})
->followed_by( sub {
    CLEANUP();
    return shift;
});

```

ITERATION

To repeat a single block of code multiple times, a `while` block is often used.

```

while( COND() ) {
    FUNC();
}

```

The `Future::Utils::repeat` function can be used to repeatedly iterate a given `Future`-returning block of code until its ending condition is satisfied.

```

use Future::Utils qw( repeat );
my $f = repeat {
    F_FUNC();
} while => sub { COND() };

```

Unlike the statement nature of perl's `while` block, this `repeat Future` can yield a value; the value returned by `$f->get` is the result of the final trial of the code block.

Here, the condition function it expected to return its result immediately. If the `repeat` condition function itself returns a `Future`, it can be combined along with the loop body. The trial `Future` returned by the code block is passed to the `while` condition function.

```

my $f = repeat {
    F_FUNC()
    ->followed_by( sub { F_COND(); } );
} while => sub { shift->get };

```

The condition can be negated by using `until` instead

```

until( HALTING_COND() ) {
    FUNC();
}

my $f = repeat {
    F_FUNC();
} until => sub { HALTING_COND() };

```

Iterating with Exceptions

Technically, this loop isn't quite the same as the equivalent `while` loop in plain Perl, because the `while` loop will also stop executing if the code within it throws an exception. This can be handled in `repeat` by testing for a failed `Future` in the `until` condition.

```

while(1) {
    TRIAL();
}

my $f = repeat {
    F_TRIAL();
} until => sub { shift->failure };

```

When a `repeat` loop is required to retry a failure, the `try_repeat` function should be used. Currently this function behaves equivalently to `repeat`, except that it will not print a warning if it is asked to retry after a failure, whereas this behaviour is now deprecated for the regular `repeat` function so that yields a warning.

```

my $f = try_repeat {
    F_TRIAL();
} while => sub { shift->failure };

```

Another variation is the `try_repeat_until_success` function, which provides a convenient shortcut to calling `try_repeat` with a condition that makes another attempt each time the previous one fails; stopping once it achieves a successful result.

```

while(1) {
    eval { TRIAL(); 1 } and last;
}

my $f = try_repeat_until_success {
    F_TRIAL();
};

```

Iterating over a List

A variation on the idea of the `while` loop is the `foreach` loop; a loop that executes once for each item in a given list, with a variable set to one value from that list each time.

```

foreach my $thing ( @THINGS ) {
    INSPECT( $thing );
}

```

This can be performed with `Future` using the `foreach` parameter to the `repeat` function. When this is in effect, the block of code is passed each item of the given list as the first parameter.

```

my $f = repeat {
    my $thing = shift;
    F_INSPECT( $thing );
} foreach => \@THINGS;

```

Recursing over a Tree

A regular call/return function can use recursion to walk over a tree-shaped structure, where each item yields a list of child items.

```

sub WALK
{
    my ( $item ) = @_;
    ...
    WALK($_) foreach CHILDREN($item);
}

```

This recursive structure can be turned into a `while()`-based repeat loop by using an array to store the remaining items to walk into, instead of using the perl stack directly:

```

sub WALK
{
    my @more = ( $root );
    while( @more ) {
        my $item = shift @more;
        ...
        unshift @more, CHILDREN($item)
    }
}

```

This arrangement then allows us to use `fmap_void` to walk this structure using Futures, possibly concurrently. A lexical array variable is captured that holds the stack of remaining items, which is captured by the item code so it can `unshift` more into it, while also being used as the actual `fmap` control array.

```

my @more = ( $root );

my $f = fmap_void {
    my $item = shift;
    ...->on_done( sub {
        unshift @more, @CHILDREN;
    })
} foreach => \@more;

```

By choosing to either `unshift` or `push` more items onto this list, the tree can be walked in either depth-first or breadth-first order.

SHORT-CIRCUITING

Sometimes a result is determined that should be returned through several levels of control structure. Regular Perl code has such keywords as `return` to return a value from a function immediately, or `last` for immediately stopping execution of a loop.

```

sub func {
    foreach my $item ( @LIST ) {
        if( COND($item) ) {
            return $item;
        }
    }
    return MAKE_NEW_ITEM();
}

```

The `Future::Utils::call_with_escape` function allows this general form of control flow, by calling a block of code that is expected to return a future, and itself returning a future. Under normal circumstances the result of this future propagates through to the one returned by `call_with_escape`.

However, the code is also passed in a future value, called here the “escape future”. If the code captures this future and completes it (either by calling `done` or `fail`), then the overall returned future immediately completes with that result instead, and the future returned by the code block is cancelled.

```

my $f = call_with_escape {
    my $escape_f = shift;

```

```

    ( repeat {
      my $item = shift;
      COND($item)->then( sub {
        my ( $result ) = @_;
        if( $result ) {
          $escape_f->done( $item );
        }
        return Future->done;
      })
    } foreach => \@ITEMS )->then( sub {
      MAKE_NEW_ITEM();
    });
};

```

Here, if `$escape_f` is completed by the condition test, the future chain returned by the code (that is, the then chain of the `repeat` block followed by `MAKE_NEW_ITEM()`) will be cancelled, and `$f` itself will receive this result.

CONCURRENCY

This final section of the phrasebook demonstrates a number of abilities that are simple to do with `Future` but can't easily be done with regular call/return style programming, because they all involve an element of concurrency. In these examples the comparison with regular call/return code will be somewhat less accurate because of the inherent ability for the `Future`-using version to behave concurrently.

Waiting on Multiple Functions

The `Future->wait_all` constructor creates a `Future` that waits for all of the component futures to complete. This can be used to form a sequence with concurrency.

```

{ FIRST_A(); FIRST_B() }
SECOND();

my $f = Future->wait_all( FIRST_A(), FIRST_B() )
->then( sub { SECOND() } );

```

Unlike in the call/return case, this can perform the work of `FIRST_A()` and `FIRST_B()` concurrently, only proceeding to `SECOND()` when both are ready.

The result of the `wait_all` `Future` is the list of its component `Futures`. This can be used to obtain the results.

```

SECOND( FIRST_A(), FIRST_B() );

my $f = Future->wait_all( FIRST_A(), FIRST_B() )
->then( sub {
  my ( $f_a, $f_b ) = @_
  SECOND( $f_a->get, $f_b->get );
} );

```

Because the `get` method will re-raise an exception caused by a failure of either of the `FIRST` functions, the second stage will fail if any of the initial `Futures` failed.

As this is likely to be the desired behaviour most of the time, this kind of control flow can be written slightly neater using `Future->needs_all` instead.

```

my $f = Future->needs_all( FIRST_A(), FIRST_B() )
->then( sub { SECOND( @_ ) } );

```

The `get` method of a `needs_all` convergent `Future` returns a concatenated list of the results of all its component `Futures`, as the only way it will succeed is if all the components do.

Waiting on Multiple Calls of One Function

Because the `wait_all` and `needs_all` constructors take an entire list of `Future` instances, they can be conveniently used with `map` to wait on the result of calling a function concurrently once per item in a list.

```
my @RESULT = map { FUNC( $_ ) } @ITEMS;
PROCESS( @RESULT );
```

Again, the `needs_all` version allows more convenient access to the list of results.

```
my $f = Future->needs_all( map { F_FUNC( $_ ) } @ITEMS )
->then( sub {
    my @RESULT = @_;
    F_PROCESS( @RESULT )
} );
```

This form of the code starts every item's future concurrently, then waits for all of them. If the list of `@ITEMS` is potentially large, this may cause a problem due to too many items running at once. Instead, the `Future::Utils::fmap` family of functions can be used to bound the concurrency, keeping at most some given number of items running, starting new ones as existing ones complete.

```
my $f = fmap {
    my $item = shift;
    F_FUNC( $item )
} foreach => \@ITEMS;
```

By itself, this will not actually act concurrently as it will only keep one `Future` outstanding at a time. The `concurrent` flag lets it keep a larger number "in flight" at any one time:

```
my $f = fmap {
    my $item = shift;
    F_FUNC( $item )
} foreach => \@ITEMS, concurrent => 10;
```

The `fmap` and `fmap_scalar` functions return a `Future` that will eventually give the collected results of the individual item futures, thus making them similar to perl's `map` operator.

Sometimes, no result is required, and the items are run in a loop simply for some side-effect of the body.

```
foreach my $item ( @ITEMS ) {
    FUNC( $item );
}
```

To avoid having to collect a potentially-large set of results only to throw them away, the `fmap_void` function variant of the `fmap` family yields a `Future` that completes with no result after all the items are complete.

```
my $f = fmap_void {
    my $item = shift;
    F_FIRST( $item )
} foreach => \@ITEMS, concurrent => 10;
```

AUTHOR

Paul Evans <leonerd@leonerd.org.uk>